

# Chronically underestimated: A reassessment of US heat waves using the extended heat index

David M. Romps<sup>12\*</sup> and Yi-Chuan Lu<sup>23</sup>

## Abstract

The heat index, or apparent temperature, was never defined for extreme heat and humidity, leading to the widespread adoption of a polynomial extrapolation designed by the United States National Weather Service. Recently, however, the heat index has been extended to all combinations of temperature and humidity, presenting an opportunity to reassess past heat waves. Here, three-hourly temperature and humidity are used to evaluate the extended heat index over the contiguous United States during the years 1984 to 2020. It is found that the 99.9th percentile of the daily maximum heat index is highest over the Midwest. Identifying and ranking heat waves by the spatially integrated exceedance of that percentile, the Midwest once again stands out as home to the most extreme heat waves, including the top-ranked July 2011 and July 1995 heat waves. The extended heat index can also be used to evaluate the physiological stress induced by heat and humidity. It is found that the most extreme Midwest heat waves tax the cardiovascular system with a skin blood flow that is elevated severalfold, approaching the physiological limit. These effects are not captured by the National Weather Service’s polynomial extrapolation, which also underestimates the heat index by as much as 10 degrees Celsius (20 degrees Fahrenheit) during severe heat waves.

## 1 Introduction

Among meteorological phenomena, heat waves are the number one cause of death in the United States (Changnon et al., 1996). Heat waves pose a particular threat to the elderly (Carleton et al., 2020), those without access to air conditioning (O’Neill et al., 2005), and outdoors workers (Acharya et al., 2018). As the Earth warms, the frequency and severity of heat waves is expected to increase (Dosio et al., 2018). It is important, therefore, to have accurate metrics for heat waves, both to issue operational warnings and to plan adaptations for the future.

Many different metrics have been used to define, identify, and measure heat waves (Xu et al., 2016). Defined as having an anomalously high air temperature, heat waves in the contiguous United States (CONUS) are found to be most severe in the South (Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Dosio et al., 2018). Heat waves have also been defined using the heat index, also known as the apparent temperature, which is a metric that maps one-to-one onto physiological states (different rates of skin blood flow) in hot conditions (Steadman, 1979). Most studies that define heat waves in terms of the heat index also find that the frequency of heat waves peaks in the South (e.g., Smith et al., 2013; Lyon and Barnston, 2017). An exception is the study of Robinson (2001), who found that heat waves are comparably frequent in the South, Midwest, and Mid-Atlantic.

It is notable, however, that these studies – and all other studies that have used Steadman’s heat index to study heat waves – have used not the actual heat index, but a functional approximation to the heat index. Of the many different approximations (Anderson et al., 2013), the most widely used is the polynomial fit developed by the United States National Weather Service (Rothfusz, 1990; National Weather Service, 2014).

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley, California

<sup>2</sup>Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California

\*email: romps@berkeley.edu

The National Weather Service (NWS) approximation is used on a regular basis to issue warnings to the public and to study past severe heat (e.g., Robinson, 2001; Kim et al., 2006; Yip et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2013; Lyon and Barnston, 2017; Xie et al., 2018; Tustin et al., 2018; Perera et al., 2022) and future severe heat (e.g., Delworth et al., 1999; Diffenbaugh et al., 2007; Opitz-Stapleton et al., 2016; Diem et al., 2017; Modarres et al., 2018; Dahl et al., 2019; Rao et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2021; Amnuaylojaroen et al., 2022). Even in the current climate, there are conditions hotter and more humid than were considered and tabulated by Steadman (1979), and so the NWS approximation is used to extrapolate the heat index beyond those tabulated values. That extrapolation is used extensively in operational warnings and in the aforementioned research studies.

From the perspective of social impacts, heat waves would ideally be identified and quantified using not an extrapolation but an accurate measure of physiological stress. Recently, Lu and Romps (2022) extended Steadman’s heat index to all combinations of temperature and humidity, providing such a measure. The objectives of this paper are threefold: 1. to use the extended heat index to define and rank the most severe heat waves experienced over the United States during recent decades, 2. to evaluate the extent to which the NWS approximation errs in reporting the heat index during those heat waves, and 3. to evaluate the physiological state required of humans exposed to conditions during the most severe of those heat waves.

## 2 The heat index

To motivate the use of the heat index, we give here a brief review. As is well known, sweating is a physiological adaptation to high temperatures, with the evaporation of sweat providing a cooling effect. But this adaptation has limits: if the air is sufficiently hot and humid, evaporative cooling is unable to compensate for the inputs of metabolic heat, sensible heat, and infrared radiation, and the core temperature rises. In general, in hot and humid conditions, humans are subjected to greater physiological stress if either the temperature or humidity increases.

To capture these effects, Steadman (1979) developed a model of thermoregulation with parameters chosen to represent a healthy adult walking in the shade with ample access to drinking water. The model quantifies the behavior (clothing thickness) and physiology (skin blood flow) in response to a combination of temperature and humidity. An important aspect of this model is that the human responds to changes in temperature and humidity by adjusting only one parameter at a time. For example, in relatively cold conditions, the human responds to changes in temperature by adjusting the thickness of the clothing being worn. Once that response has been exhausted (i.e., the clothing thickness has been driven to zero), the human responds to adjusting its skin blood flow. As a consequence, all states form a one-dimensional family, i.e., the states can be parameterized by a single variable. For example, in the original Steadman model, the states could be parameterized by  $\zeta$  with the clothing thickness in millimeters equal to  $-\zeta$  for  $\zeta < 0$  and the skin blood flow, in liters per minute, elevated by an amount of  $\zeta$  for  $\zeta \geq 0$ .

Since the space of states is one-dimensional, whereas the space of all possible temperature and humidity is two-dimensional, each state corresponds to a one-dimensional isopleth in temperature-humidity space. For example, all pairs of temperature and humidity corresponding to a clothing thickness of five millimeters form a continuous one-dimensional curve with  $\zeta = -5$ . So long as the actual air temperature and humidity remain on an isopleth, a human’s experience of those conditions does not change (e.g., the choice of clothing or the skin blood flow remains the same). The heat index, which is a function of temperature and humidity, is simply a convention for assigning a unique temperature to each isopleth: the heat index is defined to be the temperature of the isopleth at 1600 Pa (Steadman, 1979).

For illustration, Figure 1 shows the curve corresponding to  $\zeta = 0.88$  (the state with an extra  $0.88 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  of skin blood flow), which intercepts a vapor pressure of 1600 Pa at a temperature of  $60 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  ( $140 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$ ). All pairs of temperature and humidity lying on this curve have a heat index of  $60 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and induce identical behavioral and physiological responses (minimization of clothing and an extra skin blood flow of  $0.88 \text{ l min}^{-1}$ ). In this way, every pair of temperature and humidity can be mapped to a value of the heat index and a thermoregulatory state. This is useful for communicating the hazard posed by high heat and humidity. For example, a heat index of  $60 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  ( $140 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$ ) corresponds to a skin blood flow that is about two and a half times its value at room temperature. Maintaining a high skin blood flow can stress the cardiovascular system, even leading to death by heart failure. On the other hand, failing to maintain the required skin




Figure 1: The curve, in temperature-humidity space, corresponding to the state with an extra 0.88 liters per minute of skin blood flow. The small circle indicates where the curve intercepts the reference vapor pressure of 1600 Pa. The temperature of that point is 60 °C (140 °F), which defines the heat index (HI) for all points on the curve.

blood flow would lead to an elevated core temperature, which, if elevated by only a few degrees, can lead to death by hyperthermia.

Unfortunately, the heat index defined by Steadman (1979) was defined only up to certain combinations of heat and humidity, beyond which the heat index was undefined. Those originally undefined regions are labeled V and VI in Figure 8 of Lu and Romps (2022). For example, Steadman was unable to define the heat index for 30 °C (86 °F) at 90% relative humidity, or for 35 °C (95 °F) at 65% relative humidity. The heat index was left undefined in those conditions due to an apparent failure of the underlying model of thermoregulation: the vapor pressure at the skin surface exceeded the saturation value. To allow for extrapolation beyond this point of apparent failure, the NWS developed and adopted a polynomial fit to the heat index as a function of temperature and humidity (Rothfus, 1990; National Weather Service, 2014). But without a model of thermoregulation at the high values of temperature and humidity, those extrapolated values have no interpretation with respect to a thermoregulatory state. Furthermore, as will be shown below, the extrapolated values used by the NWS are biased low by  $\sim 10$  °C ( $\sim 20$  °F) during the peaks of severe heat waves.

Recently, Lu and Romps (2022) showed that Steadman’s model, and therefore the heat index, could be extended in a physical way. One of the keys to extending the model to high heat and humidity is to allow sweat to drip off the skin; this simple fix avoids any water-vapor supersaturation. This extension is backwards compatible – it gives the same values as the model of Steadman (1979) where the original model was defined – but extends the definition of the heat index to all combinations of temperature and humidity. And, as with




Figure 2: (left) The 99.9th percentile of the daily maximum heat index  $HI_{99.9}$ . (right) The 99.9th percentile of the daily maximum heat index calculated by the NWS approximation minus the actual  $HI_{99.9}$ .

the original heat index, every value maps one-to-one to a one-dimensional family of thermoregulatory states, which are parameterized by the fraction of skin covered by clothing in very cold conditions, the thickness of clothing in cold-to-mild conditions, the skin blood flow in hot conditions, and the rate of core-temperature rise in dangerously hot or lethal conditions.

### 3 Methods

To calculate the heat index (HI), we use the instantaneous two-meter temperature and humidity from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR; Mesinger et al., 2006), which provides data at a grid spacing of 32 km every three hours. We calculate the extended heat index (Lu and Romps, 2022) for each three hourly snapshot from January 1, 1984 to December 31, 2020. We also calculate the polynomial fit to the heat index developed by the National Weather Service (Rothfusz, 1990; National Weather Service, 2014) with one minor modification made here to avoid bad behavior at cold temperatures (see the appendix).

We will identify and quantify heat waves using the spatially integrated exceedance of the daily maximum heat index beyond its local 99.9th percentile of daily maxima. This choice defines heat waves in terms of their severity as perceived by humans, although there are other choices that could be made (e.g., weighting the exceedance by population density). The 99.9th percentile is chosen to isolate the most extreme events, i.e., those events comprised of values with a  $\sim 3$ -year (1000-day) return period.

We first define a grid cell’s daily maximum heat index  $HI_{dm}$  as the highest HI among UTC 12, 15, 18, and 21 on the same date and UTC 0, 3, 6, and 9 of the following day. During spring, summer, and early fall, when CONUS is observing daylight saving, this captures all available NARR data from 5am to 2am (next day) local time on the West Coast and 8am to 5am (next day) local time on the East Coast. With 37 years of data, this gives 13,515 daily maximum values. The next step is to calculate, separately for each grid cell, the 99.9th percentile of  $HI_{dm}$  over all 13,515 days, which we denote by  $HI_{99.9}$ .

The map of  $HI_{99.9}$  is shown in the left panel of Figure 2. We see that the most extreme values of the heat index do not occur in the South as one might expect, but in the Midwestern states of Illinois, Iowa, and Missouri. In those states, the 99.9th percentile of the daily maximum heat index reaches up to and beyond 60  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  (140  $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ). As seen in the right panel of Figure 2, these extreme values are not captured by the polynomial extrapolation used by the NWS. In those midwestern states, the 99.9th percentile is underestimated by the NWS polynomial by as much as  $\sim 10$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $\sim 20$   $^{\circ}\text{F}$ ).

To prepare to identify heat waves, we first calculate the daily time series of the integral over CONUS of

the number of degrees that  $\text{HI}_{\text{dm}}$  is in excess of the 99.9th percentile,

$$\int_{\text{CONUS}} dx dy \max \left[ 0, \text{HI}_{\text{dm}}(x, y, d) - \text{HI}_{99.9}(x, y) \right],$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  denote east-west and north-south distance and  $d$  denotes the day. This time series has 13,515 values: one for each day from January 1, 1984 to December 31, 2020. To find the first heat wave, we identify the maximum value in this time series. To find the start and end of that heat wave, we find the largest contiguous interval in that time series containing that maximum for which all values are at least 25% as large as that maximum; this defines the heat wave. We then find the two nearest local minima that bracket that heat wave and set to zero the interval that starts and ends with those two local minima. We then repeat the process to find the second heat wave, and so on. This identifies heat waves naturally ranked by the peak of their area-integrated exceedance of  $\text{HI}_{99.9}$ . The sensitivity of this ranking to the threshold, chosen here to be 25%, is explored in Figures S1 and S2. A lower threshold would result in longer-duration heat waves, but would not substantially alter the ranking of the most severe heat waves: for threshold values ranging from 4% to 34%, the ranking of the top 5 heat waves is unchanged.

## 4 Results

For visualization of a heat wave, we define  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}}$  for each grid cell as the largest  $\text{HI}_{\text{dm}}$  in that grid cell during the days of the heat wave. For each of the top nine heat waves identified by the algorithm described above, Figure 3 displays  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}}$  minus  $\text{HI}_{99.9}$ . The most severe heat wave (heat wave #1) is found to be centered on the Midwest during July 17-22, 2011. This time and place corresponds to a heat wave that generated a raft of news coverage and a dramatic spike in heat-related illness (Storm and Fowler, 2011; Berry et al., 2013; Fuhrmann et al., 2016). The second most severe heat wave (heat wave #2) is identified as occurring over the same region during July 12-15, 1995. This again corresponds to a well-known heat wave that hit the city of Chicago especially hard, leading to hundreds of heat-related deaths (Semenza et al., 1996; Whitman et al., 1997; Dematte et al., 1998; Semenza et al., 1999). A list of the top 20 heat waves is given in Table 1. Although the Midwest occupies only 26% of the area of the contiguous United States, it contains the peak heat index during 7 of the top 10 heat waves. This is particularly notable in comparison to the South, which occupies 29% of the area, but contains the peak heat index during only 3 of the top 10 heat waves. A list of the top 100 heat waves identified by this algorithm is given in Tables S1 and S2 and maps of their  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}} - \text{HI}_{99.9}$  are shown in Figure S3.

The top row of Figure 4 displays  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}}$  during the top two heat waves. In both cases, the heat index reaches values well in excess of 60 °C (140 °F), reaching 70 °C (157 °F) during the 2011 heat wave and 68 °C (154 °F) during the 1995 heat wave. Compared to  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}} - \text{HI}_{99.9}$ ,  $\text{HI}_{\text{max}}$  is more tightly peaked in the Midwest with typical values of ~130-150 °F. Since Steadman’s original model fails for such high heat and humidity, the NWS has used its polynomial fit to report a heat index by extrapolation. The values resulting from that extrapolation are shown in the middle row of Figure 4. The peak values of the heat index are noticeably muted in the NWS extrapolation. The error in the NWS extrapolation, shown in the bottom row of Figure 4, is ~10 °C (~20 °F) for the peak values of the heat index.

During the July 1995 heat wave, the NWS reported a peak heat index of 119 °F at O’Hare airport and 125 °F at Midway airport. Those values were subsequently referenced by major newspapers (Lev and Ryan, 1995; Kaye, 1995; Nathans, 1995; Stein and Kaplan, 1995), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; 1995), and research studies (Whitman et al., 1997; Dematte et al., 1998; Semenza et al., 1999; Grady, 2013). Using the hourly temperature and relative humidity recorded at O’Hare and Midway, we find that the NWS extrapolation yields a peak HI of 118 °F at O’Hare airport at 1pm local time (when the temperature was 100 F and the relative humidity was 50%) and 124 °F at Midway airport at 12pm (temperature of 100 F and relative humidity of 55%), consistent with the reported values. Using these same temperature and humidity values, we can calculate the actual heat index to have been 123 °F at O’Hare (5 °F higher than reported by the NWS) and 141 °F at Midway (17 °F higher than reported by the NWS).

Like the original heat index, each value of the extended heat index maps one-to-one to thermoregulatory states (Lu and Romps, 2022). For the extreme HI values in the Midwest during the July 2011 and July 1995 heat waves, a healthy adult walking in the shade would have been stressed physiologically in an effort




Figure 3: The top nine heat waves from January 1, 1984 to December 31, 2020 as identified using the spatially integrated map of  $HI_{99.9}$  exceedance.




Figure 4: (top) Actual  $HI_{\max}$  for the top two heat waves. (middle) The  $HI_{\max}$  according to the NWS approximation. (bottom) The error in the NWS approximation.

Rank	Dates	State with max HI	Max HI in °C (°F)	Max NWS value in °C (°F)
1	July 17-22, 2011	North Dakota	70 (157)	59 (139)
2	July 12-15, 1995	Illinois	68 (154)	57 (135)
3	July 31 to August 4, 2011	Mississippi	70 (158)	59 (139)
4	July 19-20, 2019	Iowa	68 (155)	58 (136)
5	July 2-4, 1997	Alabama	63 (145)	51 (124)
6	August 2-5, 2010	Iowa	65 (149)	54 (129)
7	July 30, 1999	Wisconsin	63 (146)	51 (124)
8	June 30 to July 1, 2011	Wisconsin	66 (150)	54 (129)
9	July 13-14, 2010	Iowa	65 (149)	53 (127)
10	July 23-27, 2005	Mississippi	61 (141)	50 (121)
11	July 10-11, 2011	Indiana	64 (147)	52 (126)
12	July 1-3, 2002	Maine	61 (141)	48 (119)
13	August 11-16, 2010	Illinois	64 (147)	52 (126)
14	July 10-14, 2002	Kentucky	47 (117)	43 (109)
15	July 1, 2012	South Carolina	69 (155)	57 (135)
16	July 1-2, 2020	Oklahoma	62 (144)	51 (125)
17	July 13-15, 2015	Tennessee	65 (149)	52 (126)
18	August 12-14, 2019	Louisiana	61 (143)	49 (120)
19	June 30 to July 3, 2018	Texas	59 (139)	46 (114)
20	July 30 to August 3, 2006	Illinois	62 (144)	51 (123)

Table 1: Top 20 most severe CONUS heat waves from 1984 to 2020.

to maintain a healthy core temperature: their body would need to sweat profusely (dripping sweat) and maintain a rapid rate of blood flow to the skin. This high blood flow would be needed to maintain an elevated skin temperature to ensure that the skin loses net energy to the environment at the same rate that metabolic heat is added to the core.

The right column of Figure 5 shows the skin blood flow required to maintain a healthy core temperature at the times of the maximum heat index during the top two heat waves. Combining the model of Steadman (1979) with the skin blood flow relation from Gagge et al. (1972) (see Lu and Romps, 2022), the normal skin blood flow (i.e., in mild conditions) is 0.57 liters per minute. Therefore, the dark red colors in Figure 5 correspond to skin blood flows that are severalfold higher than usual, indicating a high state of physiological stress. The highest rate of skin blood flow measured in the laboratory, achieved by inducing severe thermal stress, is estimated to be around  $7.8 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  (Rowell, 1974; Simmons et al., 2011). In the 2011 heat wave, there are a handful of grid cells of the reanalysis that report a required skin blood flow approaching  $7.8 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  and one grid cell that exceeds that value. In contrast, the required skin blood flow implied by the NWS approximation to the heat index, shown in the left column of Figure 5, never exceeds  $1.3 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  during either heat wave.

## 5 Discussion

Using the heat index, which has recently been extended to high heat and humidity (Lu and Romps, 2022), the most physiologically stressful heat waves in the contiguous United States occur most often in the Midwest, not in the South as might be expected or previously reported (e.g., Smith et al., 2013; Lyon and Barnston, 2017). The finding that the Midwest is home to the most hazardous heat and humidity is manifested both in the map of the 99.9th percentile of the daily maximum heat index  $\text{HI}_{99.9}$  (Figure 2) and in the locations of the most severe heat waves as ranked by their exceedance of  $\text{HI}_{99.9}$  (Figure 3). In both the July 1995 and July 2011 heat waves, the soils of the Midwest were moist when the high pressure arrived, trapping heat and humidity in a shallow boundary layer (Kunkel et al., 1996; Moser, 2011). Although the ingredients of individual events can be described in this way, we are not aware of any first-principles theory for why the






Figure 5: The maximum skin blood flow required to maintain a healthy core temperature during the heat waves of (top) July 17-22, 2011 and (bottom) July 12-15, 1995 as calculated using the National Weather Service’s approximation to the heat index (left) and the actual heat index (right). On the color bar, “normal” corresponds to 0.57 l min<sup>-1</sup>, the resting value, and “human limit” corresponds to 7.8 l min<sup>-1</sup>, the physiological limit estimated from laboratory experiments.

most severe US heat waves tend to occur preferentially in the Midwest.

The calculation used by the US National Weather Service underestimates the apparent temperature in extreme heat waves by as much as twenty degrees Fahrenheit. This has real consequences for our understanding of physiological impacts. For example, during the July 1995 heat wave, the heat index at the Midway airport hit a high of 141 °F. In other words, conditions in the shade at the airport felt the same as being in a room at 141 °F with 1.6 kPa of water-vapor pressure (8% relative humidity at that temperature). The physiological consequence of this exposure is that the cardiovascular system must maintain a skin blood flow that is elevated by 170%. In contrast, the heat index of 124 °F calculated by the NWS would imply a skin blood flow that is elevated by only 90%. As seen in the reanalysis, the discrepancy was even larger elsewhere in Illinois during the July 1995 heat wave, with the required skin blood flow elevated by 120% according to the NWS approximation, but elevated by 820% according to the actual heat index. Thus, the approximate calculation used by the NWS, and widely adopted, inadvertently downplays the health risks of severe heat waves.

## Appendix: The NWS approximation

The NWS approximation to the heat index is

$$\text{HI} = \begin{cases} X & X \geq 80 \\ Y & X < 80 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} X &= -42.379 + 2.04901523 T + 10.14333127 \text{RH} - 0.22475541 T \text{RH} \\ &\quad - 0.00683783 T^2 - 0.05481717 \text{RH}^2 + 0.00122874 T^2 \text{RH} \\ &\quad + 0.00085282 T \text{RH}^2 - 0.00000199 T^2 \text{RH}^2 \\ &\quad - \mathcal{H}(13 - \text{RH})\mathcal{H}(T - 80)\mathcal{H}(112 - T) \frac{13 - \text{RH}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{17 - |T - 95|}{17}} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{H}(\text{RH} - 85)\mathcal{H}(T - 80)\mathcal{H}(87 - T) \frac{\text{RH} - 85}{10} \frac{87 - T}{5} \\ Y &= 0.5 \left[ T + 61.0 + 1.2(T - 68.0) + 0.094 \text{RH} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Heaviside unit step function and the variables  $T$ ,  $\text{RH}$ , and  $\text{HI}$  in this expression are dimensionless:  $T$  is the temperature in degrees Fahrenheit,  $\text{RH}$  is the relative humidity in percent, and  $\text{HI}$  is the heat index in degrees Fahrenheit (Rothfus, 1990; National Weather Service, 2014). In the NWS implementation,  $\text{HI}$  is set equal to  $X$  or  $Y$  depending on whether  $X$  is greater than or less than 80. That leads to some very bad behavior at low temperatures. For example, at 0 °C (32 °F) and 70% relative humidity, the heat index would be given as 74 °C (166 °F). To avoid this problem, we modify the NWS approximation to use  $T = 80$  as the dividing line between these two expressions:

$$\text{HI} = \begin{cases} X & T \geq 80 \\ Y & T < 80 \end{cases}.$$

This eliminates the poor behavior at cooler temperatures and does not affect the performance of the approximation at warmer temperatures.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy’s Atmospheric System Research program through the Office of Science’s Biological and Environmental Research program under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

- Acharya, P., B. Boggess, and K. Zhang, 2018: Assessing heat stress and health among construction workers in a changing climate: a review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **15** (2), 247.
- Amnuaylojaroen, T., A. Limsakul, S. Kirtsang, N. Parasin, and V. Surapipith, 2022: Effect of the near-future climate change under RCP8.5 on the heat stress and associated work performance in Thailand. *Atmosphere*, **13** (2), 325.
- Anderson, G. B., M. L. Bell, and R. D. Peng, 2013: Methods to calculate the heat index as an exposure metric in environmental health research. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **121** (10), 1111–1119.
- Berry, M., J. Fagliano, S. Tsai, K. McGreevy, A. Walsh, and T. Hamby, 2013: Evaluation of heat-related illness surveillance based on chief complaint data from New Jersey hospital emergency rooms. *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics*, **5** (1).
- Carleton, T. A., A. Jina, M. T. Delgado, M. Greenstone, T. Houser, S. M. Hsiang, A. Hultgren, R. E. Kopp, K. E. McCusker, I. B. Nath, et al., 2020: Valuing the global mortality consequences of climate change accounting for adaptation costs and benefits. Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1995: Heat-related mortality – Chicago, July 1995. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, **44** (31), 577–579.
- Changnon, S. A., K. E. Kunkel, and B. C. Reinke, 1996: Impacts and responses to the 1995 heat wave: A call to action. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **77** (7), 1497–1506.
- Dahl, K., R. Licker, J. T. Abatzoglou, and J. Delet-Barreto, 2019: Increased frequency of and population exposure to extreme heat index days in the United States during the 21st century. *Environmental Research Communications*, **1** (7), 075 002, doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ab27cf.
- Delworth, T. L., J. D. Mahlman, and T. R. Knutson, 1999: Changes in Heat Index associated with CO<sub>2</sub>-induced global warming. *Climatic Change*, **43** (2), 369–386.
- Dematte, J. E., K. O’Mara, J. Buescher, C. G. Whitney, S. Forsythe, T. McNamee, R. B. Adiga, and I. M. Ndukwu, 1998: Near-fatal heat stroke during the 1995 heat wave in Chicago. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, **129** (3), 173–181.
- Diem, J. E., C. E. Stauber, and R. Rothenberg, 2017: Heat in the southeastern United States: Characteristics, trends, and potential health impact. *PLoS ONE*, **12**.
- Diffenbaugh, N. S., J. S. Pal, F. Giorgi, and X. Gao, 2007: Heat stress intensification in the Mediterranean climate change hotspot. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **34** (11).
- Dosio, A., L. Mentaschi, E. M. Fischer, and K. Wyser, 2018: Extreme heat waves under 1.5 °C and 2 °C global warming. *Environmental Research Letters*, **13** (5), 054006.
- Fuhrmann, C. M., M. M. Sugg, C. E. Konrad, and A. Waller, 2016: Impact of extreme heat events on emergency department visits in North Carolina (2007–2011). *Journal of Community Health*, **41** (1), 146–156.
- Gage, A. P., J. A. J. Stolwijk, and Y. Nishi, 1972: An effective temperature scale based on a simple model of human physiological regulatory response. *ASHRAE Transactions*, **7** (Part I), 247–262.
- Grady, S. C., 2013: Climate change vulnerability and impacts on human health. *Climate Change in the Midwest: Impacts, Risks, Vulnerability, and Adaptation*, S. C. Pryor, Ed., Indiana University Press Bloomington, Bloomington, Indiana, chap. 9, 117–133.
- Kaye, H., 1995: Mayor, utility – both fail as heat kills hundreds. *People’s Weekly World (1990-2013)*, 9.

- Kim, H., J.-S. Ha, and J. Park, 2006: High temperature, heat index, and mortality in 6 major cities in South Korea. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*, **61** (6), 265–270.
- Kunkel, K. E., S. A. Changnon, B. C. Reinke, and R. W. Arritt, 1996: The July 1995 heat wave in the Midwest: a climatic perspective and critical weather factors. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **77** (7), 1507–1518.
- Lev, M. and N. Ryan, 1995: City sweats, but survives: Emergency effort, breezes combine to fend off disaster. *Chicago Tribune (1963-1996)*, 2.
- Lu, Y.-C. and D. M. Romps, 2022: Extending the Heat Index. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, **in press**.
- Lyon, B. and A. G. Barnston, 2017: Diverse characteristics of US summer heat waves. *Journal of Climate*, **30** (19), 7827–7845.
- Meehl, G. A. and C. Tebaldi, 2004: More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in the 21st century. *Science*, **305** (5686), 994–997.
- Mesinger, F., G. DiMego, E. Kalnay, K. Mitchell, P. C. Shafran, W. Ebisuzaki, D. Jović, J. Woollen, E. Rogers, E. H. Berbery, et al., 2006: North American regional reanalysis. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **87** (3), 343–360.
- Modarres, R., M. Ghadami, S. Naderi, and M. Naderi, 2018: Future heat stress arising from climate change on Iran’s population health. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **62** (7), 1275–1281.
- Moser, W., 2011: Chicago heat wave: Blame this spring’s floods. *Chicago Magazine*.
- Nathans, A., 1995: City heat index soars to 119; relief in sight. *Indianapolis Star (1923-2004)*, 1.
- National Weather Service, 2014: The Heat Index Equation. URL [https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/html/heatindex\\_equation.shtml](https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/html/heatindex_equation.shtml), accessed on February 16, 2022, URL [https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/html/heatindex\\_equation.shtml](https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/html/heatindex_equation.shtml), accessed on February 16, 2022.
- O’Neill, M. S., A. Zanobetti, and J. Schwartz, 2005: Disparities by race in heat-related mortality in four US cities: the role of air conditioning prevalence. *Journal of Urban Health*, **82** (2), 191–197.
- Opitz-Stapleton, S., L. Sabbag, K. Hawley, P. Tran, L. Hoang, and P. H. Nguyen, 2016: Heat index trends and climate change implications for occupational heat exposure in Da Nang, Vietnam. *Climate Services*, **2-3**, 41–51.
- Perera, T. A. N. T., T. M. D. Nayanajith, G. Y. Jayasinghe, and H. D. S. Premasiri, 2022: Identification of thermal hotspots through heat index determination and urban heat island mitigation using ENVImet numerical micro climate model. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, **8** (1), 209–226.
- Rahman, M. B., R. Salam, A. Reza, M. T. Islam, A. Tasnuva, U. Haque, S. Shahid, Z. Hu, and J. Mallick, 2021: Appraising the historical and projected spatiotemporal changes in the heat index in Bangladesh. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **146** (1), 125–138.
- Rao, K. K., T. V. L. Kumar, A. Kulkarni, C. H. Ho, B. Mahendranath, S. Desamsetti, S. Patwardhan, A. R. Dandi, H. Barbosa, and S. Sabade, 2020: Projections of heat stress and associated work performance over India in response to global warming. *Scientific Reports*, **10**.
- Robinson, P. J., 2001: On the definition of a heat wave. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, **40** (4), 762–775.
- Rothfus, L. P., 1990: The Heat Index “equation” (or, more than you ever wanted to know about Heat Index). Technical Attachment SR 90-23, NWS Southern Region Headquarters, Fort Worth, TX.
- Rowell, L. B., 1974: Human cardiovascular adjustments to exercise and thermal stress. *Physiological Reviews*, **54** (1), 75–159.

- Semenza, J. C., J. E. McCullough, W. D. Flanders, M. A. McGeehin, and J. R. Lumpkin, 1999: Excess hospital admissions during the July 1995 heat wave in Chicago. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, **16** (4), 269–277.
- Semenza, J. C., C. H. Rubin, K. H. Falter, J. D. Selanikio, W. D. Flanders, H. L. Howe, and J. L. Wilhelm, 1996: Heat-related deaths during the July 1995 heat wave in Chicago. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **335** (2), 84–90.
- Simmons, G. H., B. J. Wong, L. A. Holowatz, and W. L. Kenney, 2011: Changes in the control of skin blood flow with exercise training: where do cutaneous vascular adaptations fit in? *Experimental Physiology*, **96** (9), 822–828.
- Smith, T. T., B. F. Zaitchik, and J. M. Gohlke, 2013: Heat waves in the United States: definitions, patterns and trends. *Climatic Change*, **118** (3), 811–825.
- Steadman, R. G., 1979: The assessment of sultriness. Part I: A temperature-humidity index based on human physiology and clothing science. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, **18** (7), 861–873.
- Stein, S. and J. Kaplan, 1995: Scientists probe why heat wave became a killer. *Chicago Tribune (1963-1996)*, 2.
- Storm, B. and B. Fowler, 2011: Evaluating the relationship between heat-related ED visits and weather variables. *Emerging Health Threats Journal*, **4**, 146.
- Tustin, A. W., G. E. Lamson, B. L. Jacklitsch, R. J. Thomas, S. B. Arbury, D. L. Cannon, R. G. Gonzales, and M. J. Hodgson, 2018: Evaluation of occupational exposure limits for heat stress in outdoor workers – United States, 2011–2016. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, **67** (26), 733.
- Whitman, S., G. Good, E. R. Donoghue, N. Benbow, W. Shou, and S. Mou, 1997: Mortality in Chicago attributed to the July 1995 heat wave. *American Journal of Public Health*, **87** (9), 1515–1518.
- Xie, J., Y. Chen, T. Hong, and T. D. Laing, 2018: Relative humidity for load forecasting models. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, **9** (1), 191–198.
- Xu, Z., G. FitzGerald, Y. Guo, B. Jalaludin, and S. Tong, 2016: Impact of heatwave on mortality under different heatwave definitions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environment International*, **89**, 193–203.
- Yip, F. Y., W. D. Flanders, A. Wolkin, D. Engelthaler, W. Humble, A. Neri, L. Lewis, L. Backer, and C. Rubin, 2008: The impact of excess heat events in Maricopa County, Arizona: 2000–2005. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **52** (8), 765–772.