

How Do the Variational Analysis and SCMs/CRMs Respond to a Reduced ARM SGP Network?

Shaocheng Xie

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Acknowledgments:

Xiaoqing Wu for ISU CRM tests

***Steve Klein, Minghua Zhang, Ric Cederwall, and Ann Fridlind for
suggestions and comments***


2009 ARM Science Team Meeting, Louisville, Kentucky

A Little Background



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Current ARM SGP Observation Network



Variational Analysis Domain

~3.5 x 3.5 degree

~ 23 ARM Extended Facilities (EFs)

- Radiative fluxes
- SH, LH
- Precipitation
- Other surface Meteorology fields (e.g., Ts, Ps)

~14 EFs equipped with EBBR (Red)

~9 EFs equipped with ECOR (Blue)

▲ CASA IP1 Radar Network

NOAA wind profilers

ARM wind profilers

● Analysis grid points

* Sounding stations

+ Oklahoma mesonet

X Kansas mesonet

◇ ARM EF

~4km WSR-88D Radar precipitation well covers the domain

Issues with Current SGP Network



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

- ***SGP Domain size (~3.5 x 3.5 deg) vs. a typical GCM grid box (~2.0 x 2.0 deg)***
- ***Can't resolve well the mesoscale variability shown in many important meteorology fields***
- ***Continuously maintaining the 23 EFs at their current locations is expensive***

Ideas

- ***Shrink SGP to 2 x 2 (deg) or less***
- ***Redistribute the EFs to the reduced SGP domain***

→ Have a better chance to get 3d clouds for a smaller domain

How do the variational analysis and SCMs/CRMs respond to a reduced SGP domain?

Two Revised Surface Networks Used in the Study




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Option A:

Shrink SGP to $\sim 2 \times 2$ deg,
centered at CF


Analysis Domain A



Option B:

Shrink SGP to $\sim 1.5 \times 1.5$ deg,
domain enclosed by the 6
closest EFs, the CF not at the
center

Analysis Domain B



Pros:

- 2×2 or 1.5×1.5 (deg) \sim A typical climate model resolution
- 6 EFs + CF within the new domain
- More evenly distributed surface mesonet stations

Cons:

- CASA radars and both ARM and NOAA wind profilers are outside the reduced domains
- Eliminate most ECOR stations (except for CF)


Mean Surface Precip Rates Over CLASIC IOP



ARM

Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Surface Precipitation Rates



- ***Smaller domain shows stronger surface precipitation***

CNTL : Original domain

A: Domain A


B: Domain B

Mean Heat Fluxes




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Surface Sensible Heat Fluxes



Surface Latent Heat Fluxes



CNTL : Original domain

A: Domain A


- The differences are mainly due to the elimination of the ECOR stations (see next slide for more information)*

EBBR vs. ECOR




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

SH - Domain average



- *ECOR has larger SH and smaller LH than EBBR*

LH - Domain average




Mean Surface Radiation



ARM

Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Surface Net Radiation



- *Minor impact on surface radiation fluxes*

CNTL : Original domain

A: Domain A

Variational Analysis

- ***Three runs over the ARM CLASIC IOP:***
 - CNTL: original domain***
 - A: domain A (2x2 degree)***
 - B: domain B (1.5x1.5 degree)***
- ***Upper air data from RUC analyses***
- ***Surface and TOA constraints are averaged over corresponding domains***


Derived Large-Scale Forcing Field




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Vertical Velocity (mb/hr)


Sondes






RUC - Whole Domain



RUC - Domain A



RUC - Domain B




- *Analysis is stable*
- *Forcing strength just responds to the new surface and TOA constraints, especially surface precipitation*

Vertical Omega Profiles Averaged over wet and dry periods



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement



- Stronger forcing with stronger Pr
- The level of maximum omega is lower for smaller domain

Averaged surface precipitation Rates (mm/day)

SCM/CRM Tests



ARM


Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

- ***NCAR CAM3 SCM (Shaocheng Xie)***
- ***ISU CRM (Xiaoqing Wu)***



SCM responds well to the smaller scale forcings



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement



Observed surface precipitation rates are well simulated by the NCAR SCM




Simulated Clouds



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Clouds (%)




Smaller domain helps capture better the temporal variability and low-middle level clouds observed at CF

Temperature Errors




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Temperature Error (K)



Overall, model errors are similar for the three domains




Temperature Errors




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Temperature Error (K)



Overall, model errors are similar for the three domains




Moisture Errors




Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Moisture Error (g/kg)



Overall, model errors are similar for the three domains




Small domain is not an issue for ISU CRM



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

3-hourly precipitation






Observed surface precipitation rates are well simulated

(Courtesy of Xiaoqing Wu)


T, q biases are slightly larger with smaller domain forcing



Atmospheric Radiation Measurement



21-day mean T and q biases



(Courtesy of Xiaoqing Wu)

Summary

A reduced 2x2 (or 1.5x1.5) degree domain is comparable to a typical GCM grid box used in current climate models. Impact on the variational analysis is small and SCM/CRM respond well to the smaller scale forcing for both revised domains.

Pros:

- **Save money for ARM**
- **Better resolve the subgrid scale variability in clouds and other important atmospheric fields with potentially denser network if the outside EFs are moved into the smaller domain**
- **Have the potential to get 3-d clouds**
- **Improve the comparison between models and data observed at CF**
- **Surface mesonet stations are more evenly distributed**

Cons:

- **CASA radars and both ARM and NOAA wind profilers are outside the revised domain ~ could be a loss**
- **Eliminate most ECOR stations (except for CF)**

Summary

Suggestions:

- *Prefer Domain B (1.5x1.5) ~ smaller than domain A (2.0x2.0) but larger enough for SCMs and CRMs.*
- *Keep the 6 EFs and CF within the reduced domain unchanged so that we can maintain long-term consistent measurements at those stations*
- *Move those outside EFs into the reduced network to increase the density of surface stations, especially for those stations equipped with the ECOR system*
- *Move CASA radars into the new domain if ARM is going to maintain the CASA radars*
- *Move ARM wind profilers*
- *NOAA wind profilers? – the data has been used in the variational analysis so missing the NOAA wind profilers could be a loss, but this can be probably tolerated based on the test results from this study and using interpolated wind profiler data.*



ARM

Atmospheric Radiation Measurement

Questions and Comments?